

Comments in Response to Localism Notice of Proposed Rulemaking  
MB Docket No. 04-233

I submit the following comments in response to the Localism Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (the "NPRM"), released Jan. 24, 2008, in MB Docket No. 04-233.

Any new FCC rules, policies or procedures must not violate First Amendment rights. A number of proposals discussed in the NPRM, if enacted, would do so - and must not be adopted.

(1) The FCC must not force radio stations, especially religious broadcasters, to take advice from people who do not share their values. The NPRM's proposed advisory board proposals would impose such unconstitutional mandates.

Religious broadcasters who resist advice from those who don't share their values could face increased harassment, complaints and even loss of license for choosing to follow their own consciences, rather than allowing incompatible viewpoints to shape their programming. The First Amendment prohibits government, including the FCC, from dictating what viewpoints a broadcaster, particularly a religious broadcaster, must present.

(2) The FCC must not turn every radio station into a public forum where anyone and everyone has rights to air time. Proposed public access requirements would do so - even if a religious broadcaster conscientiously objects to the message. The First Amendment forbids imposition of message delivery mandates on any religion.

(3) The FCC must not force revelation of specific editorial decision-making information. The choice of programming, especially religious programming, is not properly dictated by any government agency - and proposals to force reporting on such things as who produced what programs would intrude on constitutionally-protected editorial choices.

(4) The FCC must not establish a two-tiered renewal system in which certain licensees would be automatically barred from routine renewal application processing. The proposed mandatory special renewal review of certain classes of applicants by the Commissioners themselves would amount to coercion of religious broadcasters. Those who stay true to their consciences and present only the messages they correspond to their beliefs could face long, exp

ensive and potentially ruinous renewal proceedings.

(5) Many Christian broadcasters operate on tight budgets, as do many smaller market secular stations. Keeping the electricity flowing is often a challenge. Yet, the Commission proposes to further squeeze niche and smaller market broadcasters, by substantially raising costs in two ways: (a) by requiring staff presence whenever a station is on the air and, (b) by further restricting main studio location choices. Raising costs with these proposals would force service cutbacks - and curtailed service is contrary to the public interest.

We urge the FCC not to adopt rules, procedures or policies discussed above.

April 20, 2008  
Jana Jennings  
4207 48th Street  
Lubbock, TX 79413

--

I am using the free version of SPAMfighter for private users.  
It has removed 119 spam emails to date.  
Paying users do not have this message in their emails.  
Get the free SPAMfighter here: <http://www.spamfighter.com/en>

-----=\_NextPart\_000\_0027\_01C8A2D5.398DFEC0

Content-Type: text/html; charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">

<HTML><HEAD>

<META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; charset=3Diso-8859-1">

<META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.2800.1555" name=3DGENERATOR>

<STYLE></STYLE>

</HEAD>

<BODY bgColor=3D#ffffff>

<DIV>

<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>

<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>ECFS - E-mail

Filing<BR>&lt;PROCEEDING&gt;04-233<BR>&lt;DATE&gt;04/20/08&nbsp;<BR>&lt;NAM

E&gt;Jana

Jennings&nbsp;<BR>&lt;ADDRESS1&gt; 4207 48th

Street<BR>&lt;ADDRESS2&gt;<BR>&lt;CITY&gt;Lubbock<BR>&lt;STATE&gt;TX&nbsp;<

BR>&lt;ZIP&gt;79413&nbsp;<BR>&lt;LAW-FIRM&gt;<BR>&lt;ATTORNEY&gt;<BR>&lt;FI

LE-NUMBER&gt;<BR>&lt;DOCUMENT-TYPE&gt;CO<BR>&lt;PHONE-NUMBER&gt;<BR>&lt;DES

CRPTION&gt;Comment<BR>&lt;CONTACT-EMAIL&gt;janajennings@xanadoo.com&nbsp;<

BR>&lt;TEXT&gt;<BR>Comments

in Response to Localism Notice of Proposed Rulemaking<BR>MB Docket No.

04-233</FONT></DIV>

<DIV>&nbsp;</DIV>

<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>&nbsp;<I submit the following comments in respo  
nse

to the Localism Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (the =22NPRM=22), released Jan. 2  
4,

2008, in MB Docket No. 04-233.</FONT></DIV>

<DIV>&nbsp;</DIV>

<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>&nbsp;<Any new FCC rules, policies or procedure  
s

must not violate First Amendment rights.&nbsp;< A number of proposals discus  
sed

in the NPRM, if enacted, would do so - and must not be adopted. </FONT></DI  
V>

<DIV>&nbsp;</DIV>

<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>(1)&nbsp;<The FCC must not force radio stations  
,

especially religious broadcasters, to take advice from people who do not sh  
are

their values. The NPRM's proposed advisory board proposals would impose suc  
h

unconstitutional mandates.&nbsp;&nbsp;< Religious broadcasters who resist ad  
vice

from those who don't share their values could face increased harassment,  
complaints and even loss of license for choosing to follow their own

consciences, rather than allowing incompatible viewpoints to shape their

programming.&nbsp;< The First Amendment prohibits government, including the

FCC,&nbsp;< from dictating what viewpoints a broadcaster, particularly a

religious broadcaster, must present.&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;</FONT></DIV>

<DIV>&nbsp;</DIV>

<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>(2)&nbsp;<The FCC must not turn every radio sta

tion

into a public forum where anyone and everyone has rights to air time.&nbsp;

Proposed public access requirements would do so - even if a religious broadcaster conscientiously objects to the message.&nbsp; The First Amendment

forbids imposition of message delivery mandates on any religion.&nbsp;&nbsp;

</FONT></DIV>

<DIV>&nbsp;</DIV>

<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>(3)&nbsp;The FCC must not force revelation of specific editorial decision-making information.&nbsp; The choice of program

ming, especially religious programming, is not properly dictated by any government

agency - and proposals to force reporting on such things as who produced what

programs would intrude on constitutionally-protected editorial choices.</FONT></DIV>

<DIV>&nbsp;</DIV>

<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>(4)&nbsp;The FCC must not establish a two-tiered

renewal system in which certain licensees would be automatically barred from

routine renewal application processing.&nbsp; The proposed mandatory special

renewal review of certain classes of applicants by the Commissioners themselves

would amount to coercion of religious broadcasters.&nbsp; Those who stay true to

their consciences and present only the messages they correspond to their beliefs

could face long, expensive and potentially ruinous renewal proceedings.

</FONT></DIV>

<DIV>&nbsp;</DIV>

<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>(5)&nbsp;Many Christian broadcasters operate on

tight budgets, as do many smaller market secular stations.&nbsp; Keeping the

location choices. Raising costs with these proposals would force service cutbacks - and curtailed service is contrary to the public interest.

<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>We urge the FCC not to adopt rules, procedures  
or  
policies discussed above.</FONT></DIV>

<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>April 20, 2008<BR>Jana Jennings<BR>4207 48th  
Street&nbsp;<BR>Lubbock, TX&nbsp;<BR>

-----=\_NextPart\_000\_0027\_01C8A2D5.398DFEC0--